# Scheduling on the Top 50 machines

Carsten Ernemann, Martin Krogmann, Joachim Lepping, Ramin Yahyapour

Computer Engineering Institute, University Dortmund, 44221 Dortmund, Germany (email: {carsten.ernemann,martin.krogmann,joachim.lepping,ramin.yahyapour}@udo.edu)

**Abstract.** The well-known TOP500 list ranks the 500 most powerful high-performance computers. However, the list lacks details about the job management and scheduling on these machines. As this statistic is interesting for researchers and system designers, this paper gives an overview and survey on scheduling relevant information for the first 50 entries in the TOP500 list.

## 1 Introduction

The task of scheduling computational jobs on parallel computers is subject to research for quite a long time. Despite many different approaches from theory, only a few scheduling strategies are practically in use. The actual statistics of the actual implementations are of interest to researchers, system administrators and manufacturers. The most known statistic about high-performance computers is the TOP500 list which is published every half year [2]. The list contains the 500 most powerful computers according to the LINPACK benchmark [5].

Unfortunately, the TOP500 list focuses on the benchmark result, peak performance, machine size, manufacturer and installation site. That is, there are no information about the scheduling systems that are deployed on these machines. To this end, this paper gives an survey about additional information of the top 50 machines on the TOP500 list from November 2003. The information has been collected from available web sites, publications and by querying the corresponding system administrators. The following section gives a description about the data in the list.

## 2 List Description

**TOP500:** Position in the TOP500 ranking for the November 2003 edition of the TOP500 list. **Name:** Installation name from the TOP500 list.

Country and City: Location of the installation.

Year: Year of installation or last significant update.

**Computer Family Model/Manufacturer:** Information about the system model and the manufacturer.

Type: Type of the computer, e.g. parallel computer (MPP), vector computer, cluster.

**Inst. Type:** Classification of the application field of the installation (research, academic, industry).

Processors: Number of processors.

**Op. System:** Operating System of the machine.

- Max. Mem./Total Mem.: Maximum available main memory on a single processing node/cummulative total memory.
- $R_{max}/R_{peak}$ : Maximal LINPACK performance achieved and the theoretical peak performance respectively (both in GFlops).
- $N_{max}/N_{half}$ : LINPACK problem size for achieving  $R_{max}$  and for achieving half of  $R_{max}$ .

Queues: Information about the existing queues in the job management system.

Scheduling: Information about the used job scheduling system and strategies.

Prioritization: shows whether priorities are assigned to users and/or jobs.

**Backfilling:** whether backfilling is used as a job scheduling strategy [4,3]

**Reservations:** whether processor allocations are reservable in advance.

- **Checkpointing:** The local management supports the checkpointing of a job. A file of a checkpointed job is generated that allows a later continuation from that point. The checkpoint file may also be migratable to other resources, but this feature is not required.
- **Preemption:** A job is preempted on a given processor allocation and later continued [1]. In this case the corresponding application is stopped but remains resident on the allocated processors and can be resumed later. This preemption is not synonymous with the preemption in a multitasking system that typically happens in the time range of milliseconds.
- **Gang Scheduling:** A parallel job can be preempted and continued on a given processor allocation. The scheduling system assures that all tasks of a parallel jobs are active at the same time, so that no process of a job has to wait for communication with another process of the job which is not currently active. That is preemption is synchronized for all processes of a job; within a "gang" all processes are active at the same time. This strategy can be used to allow time-shared execution of several parallel applications within different gangs.
- **Partitions:** Many systems use partitioning to split the existing number of processors into groups for special applications. For instance, dedicated partitions for interactive jobs or data-intensive applications.

Average Utilization: Information about the average utilization of the complete machine.

# 3 List

<b>TOP500</b> <sup>1</sup> :	1	Name:	Earth Simulator Cent	ter
Country:	Japan	City:	Yokohama	<b>Year:</b> 2002
Computer			Manufacturer:	NEC
Family Model:	Earth-Simulator	r		
Type:	Parallel vector	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	5120	<b>Op. System:</b>	ESOS (SUPER-UX)	
Max. Mem.:	16 GB		Total Mem.:	10 TB
$\mathbf{R_{max}}^2$ :	35860		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}^{3}$ :	40960
$\mathbf{N_{max}}^4$ :	$1,0752 \times 10^{6}$		$N_{half}$ <sup>5</sup> :	266240
Queues:				
$\bullet$ S-queue : small	scale batch requ	ests (Max 8 AP	and 16 GB within 1	node)
• L-queue : large	scale batch requ	ests (Max $512$ n	odes)	
Scheduling:				
• NQS-II (ERS-II	: S-queue, custo	omized schedule	r : L-queue), NEC	
Prioritization:	No		Backfill:	Yes
Reservations:	No		Checkpointing:	Yes
Preemption:	No		Gang Scheduling:	No
Partitions:				
$\bullet$ 2048 Banks				
Average Utiliza	tion: not given			

<b>TOP500:</b>	2	Name:	Los Alamos National	Lab	
Country:	USA	City:	Los Alamos, NM	<b>Year:</b> 2002	
Computer	ASCI Q-AlphaS	Server	Manufacturer:	HP	
Family Model:	SC 45, 1.25 GH	z			
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research		
Processors:	8192	Op. System:	Tru64 Unix		
Max. Mem.:	not given	•	Total Mem.:	22 TB	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	13880		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	20480	
N <sub>max</sub> :	633000		N <sub>half</sub> :	225000	
Queues:					
• 8-9 active queue	es per cluster				
• 4-5 queues per cluster that are activated for special purposes					
• Queue configuration is changed according to customer input on current needs					
averaging once	per month.				
• Queues maybe	set up for a proj	ect with a dead	line to give it on-dema	and	
access (without	preemption), sp	ecial debugging	g queues, queues that	allow	
very long runni	ing jobs, etc.				
Scheduling:					
• LSF (Fair Share	e Scheduling)				
Prioritization	Vos		Backfill	Ves	
I HOI ITIZATION.	105		Dackiiii.	105	
Reservations:	Yes		Checkpointing:	Yes	
Reservations: Preemption:	Yes Yes		Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling:	Yes Yes	
Reservations: Preemption: Partitions:	Yes Yes		Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling:	Yes Yes	
Reservations:Preemption:Partitions:• No login nodes	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM	S sense.	Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling:	Yes Yes	
Reservations:Preemption:Partitions:• No login nodes• All access is the	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched	S sense. uled/controlled	Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: jobs.	Yes Yes	
Reservations: Preemption: Partitions: • No login nodes • All access is the • 128 nodes on ea	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil	S sense. uled/controlled e serving nodes	<b>Checkpointing:</b> <b>Gang Scheduling:</b> jobs. and permit the intera	Yes Yes	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is thi</li> <li>128 nodes on ea to one or two w</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM rough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a	S sense. uled/controlled e serving nodes a LSF interactiv	<b>Checkpointing:</b> <b>Gang Scheduling:</b> jobs. and permit the interate job.	Yes Yes	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is thi</li> <li>128 nodes on eato one or two w</li> <li>This provides in</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a nmediate access	S sense. uled/controlled e serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs"	jobs. and permit the interace job. since there are adequ	Yes Yes Active login nate resources	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is the</li> <li>128 nodes on eator to one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a nmediate access interactive devel	S sense. uled/controlled e serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment worklos	jobs. and permit the interate since there are adequad. These nodes are not	Yes Yes Active login nate resources of normally	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Recervations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is thm</li> <li>128 nodes on earto one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical used for large participant.</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a nmediate access interactive devel parallel jobs.	S sense. uled/controlled e serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment workloa	jobs. and permit the interate since there are adequed. These nodes are not	Yes Yes Active login nate resources of normally	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Recervations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is the</li> <li>128 nodes on earto one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical used for large p</li> <li>All queues supp</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a nmediate access interactive devel parallel jobs. port LSF interact	S sense. uled/controlled le serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment workloa tive access up to	<b>Checkpointing:</b> <b>Checkpointing:</b> <b>Gang Scheduling:</b> jobs. and permit the interate i job. since there are adequad. These nodes are not of the maximum size	Yes Yes Active login nate resources of normally	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is thi</li> <li>128 nodes on eator to one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical used for large p</li> <li>All queues supprallowed by the to be an an</li></ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM rough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a mmediate access interactive devel parallel jobs. port LSF interact queue.	S sense. uled/controlled le serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment worklos tive access up to	<b>Checkpointing:</b> <b>Checkpointing:</b> <b>Gang Scheduling:</b> jobs. and permit the interate job. since there are adequad. These nodes are not o the maximum size	Yes Yes Active login hate resources of normally	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is thi</li> <li>128 nodes on eator to one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical used for large p</li> <li>All queues supprallowed by the</li> <li>User can schedu</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a nmediate access interactive devel barallel jobs. port LSF interact queue. 18 up to 384 wh	S sense. uled/controlled le serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment workloa tive access up to ole nodes (1356	<b>Checkpointing:</b> <b>Gang Scheduling:</b> jobs. and permit the interate job. since there are adequid. These nodes are no o the maximum size processors)	Yes Yes active login hate resources of normally	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is thi</li> <li>128 nodes on eator to one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical used for large p</li> <li>All queues suppallowed by the</li> <li>User can schedu interactively vi</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a nmediate access interactive devel barallel jobs. bort LSF interact queue. ile up to 384 wh a an LSF job usi	S sense. uled/controlled e serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment worklow tive access up to ole nodes (1356 ng the large qu	Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: jobs. and permit the intera- e job. since there are adequ id. These nodes are no o the maximum size processors) eue.	Yes Yes active login nate resources of normally	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is the</li> <li>128 nodes on east to one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical used for large p</li> <li>All queues suppallowed by the</li> <li>User can schedu interactively vi</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a mmediate access interactive devel barallel jobs. bort LSF interact queue. ile up to 384 wh a an LSF job usi <b>ation:</b>	S sense. uled/controlled te serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment worklow tive access up to ole nodes (1356 ng the large qu	Checkpointing: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: jobs. and permit the interate e job. since there are adequad. These nodes are not the maximum size processors) eue.	Yes Yes active login nate resources of normally	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is the</li> <li>128 nodes on eator to one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical used for large p</li> <li>All queues suppallowed by the</li> <li>User can schedu interactively vi</li> <li>Average Utiliza</li> <li>For 2003 the utility</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a nmediate access interactive devel parallel jobs. port LSF interact queue. ale up to 384 wh a an LSF job usi tion: zation was appre	S sense. uled/controlled le serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment worklos tive access up to ole nodes (1356 ng the large qu oximately 55%	Checkpointing: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: jobs. and permit the interate e job. since there are adequad. These nodes are not the maximum size processors) eue.	Yes Yes active login nate resources of normally	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is the 128 nodes on earto one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical used for large pallowed by the</li> <li>User can schedu interactively vi</li> <li>Average Utilization 8192 procession</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a nmediate access interactive devel parallel jobs. port LSF interact queue. an LSF job usi ation: zation was appro- res or 2048 nodes.	S sense. uled/controlled le serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment workloa tive access up to ole nodes (1356 ng the large qu oximately 55%	Checkpointing: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: jobs. and permit the interate e job. since there are adequad. These nodes are not the maximum size processors) eue.	Yes Yes active login nate resources of normally	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is the 128 nodes on earto one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical used for large pallowed by the</li> <li>User can schedu interactively vi</li> <li>Average Utilization 8192 processor</li> <li>Information from the term of term of the term of t</li></ul>	Yes Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a nmediate access interactive devel parallel jobs. port LSF interact queue. an LSF job usi ation: zation was appro- res or 2048 nodes.	S sense. uled/controlled e serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment workloa tive access up to ole nodes (1356 ng the large qu oximately 55%	<b>Checkpointing:</b> <b>Checkpointing:</b> <b>Gang Scheduling:</b> jobs. and permit the interate e job. since there are adequad. These nodes are not the maximum size processors) eue.	Yes Yes Active login nate resources of normally	
<ul> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>No login nodes</li> <li>All access is the</li> <li>128 nodes on earticly to one or two w</li> <li>This provides in for our typical used for large p</li> <li>All queues suppallowed by the</li> <li>User can schedu interactively vi</li> <li>Average Utilization 8192 processon</li> <li>Information from Manuel Vigil, Loging 1</li> </ul>	Yes Yes in the Unix/RM cough LSF sched ach cluster are fil whole nodes via a nmediate access interactive devel parallel jobs. port LSF interact queue. an LSF job usi ation: zation was appro- res or 2048 nodes. om: s Alamos, NM	S sense. uled/controlled e serving nodes a LSF interactiv for "login jobs" opment workloa tive access up to ole nodes (1356 ng the large qu oximately 55%	<b>Checkpointing:</b> <b>Gang Scheduling:</b> jobs. and permit the interate e job. since there are adequad. These nodes are not the maximum size processors) eue.	Yes Yes active login nate resources of normally	

<b>TOP500:</b>	3	Name:	Virginia Tech	
Country:	USA	City:	Falls Church, VA	<b>Year:</b> 2003
Computer	1100 Dual 2.0 C	GHz Apple G5,	Manufacturer:	Self-made
Family Model:	Mellanox Infinil	band 4X		
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Academic	
Processors:	2200	Op. System:	Mac OS X	
Max. Mem.:	4 GB		Total Mem.:	4,4 TB
R <sub>max</sub> :	10280		R <sub>peak</sub> :	17600
$N_{max}$ :	520000		N <sub>half</sub> :	152000
Queues: not give	en		•	
Scheduling:				
• Deja vu				
Prioritization:	No		Backfill:	No
Reservations:	No		Checkpointing:	Yes
Preemption:	No		Gang Scheduling:	No
Partitions: not g	given		·	
Average Utiliza	ation: not given			

<b>TOP500:</b>	4	Name:	NCSA	
Country:	USA	City:	Champaign, IL	<b>Year:</b> 2003
Computer	PowerEdge 175	0,	Manufacturer:	Dell
Family Model:	P4 Xeon 3.06 G	Hz, Myrinet		
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Academic	
Processors:	2500	Op. System:	Linux (Red Hat 9.0)	
Max. Mem.:	3 GB		Total Mem.:	3,75 TB
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	9819		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	15300
N <sub>max</sub> :	630000		N <sub>half</sub> :	not given
Queues: not give	en		•	
Scheduling:				
• Maui Scheduler				
Prioritization:	Yes		Backfill:	Yes
Reservations:	No		Checkpointing:	No
Preemption:	Yes		Gang Scheduling:	No
Partitions: not §	given			
Average Utiliza	ation: not given			

<b>TOP500:</b>	5	Name:	Pacific Northwest Na	tional Lab
Country:	USA	City:	Richland, WA	<b>Year:</b> 2003
Computer	Integrity rx2600	)	Manufacturer:	HP
Family Model:	Itanium 2 $1.5~{\rm G}$	Hz, Quadics		
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	1956	Op. System:	Linux (Red Hat 7.2)	
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	6,8 TB
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	8633		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	11616
$N_{max}$ :	835000		$N_{half}$ :	140000
Queues:				
• three main que	ues for normal us	ser jobs		
• A large job que	ue that has a sli	ghtly higher prie	ority and	
only runs jobs	requiring 256 CF	PU's.		
• A short queue f	for jobs of 8 CPU	J's or less and le	ess than 30 minutes of	run time
and a normal q	ueue of other us	er jobs.		
• All of these job	s will backfill if p	possible.		
• In addition to t	hese we have so	me other queues	for testing system iss	ues
and for running	g special jobs that	at we need to te	nd.	
• Also we have the	ne SLURM queu	e for other extre	emely low priority jobs	3
that we can kil	l when we need t	the node for a "	real" job.	
Scheduling:				
• LSF as a schedu	uler on top of th	e Quadrics RMS	5 resource managemen	it system.
• SLURM resource	ce manager for s	ome of the lower	st priority,	
preemptable ba	ackfill, jobs.			
• SLURM jobs to	backfill also bu	t preempt them	when LSF	
jobs are schedu	led to run.			
Prioritization:	Yes		Backfill:	Yes
Reservations:	Yes		Checkpointing:	No
Preemption:	Yes		Gang Scheduling:	Yes
Partitions:				
• Partition for th	e user login node	es and the mana	agement nodes (4 node	es).
• Partition for th	e Lustre filesyste	em nodes (34 no	des).	
• The remaining	nodes are in a si	ngle partition (9	940 nodes).	
• These nodes co	nsist of "Fat" no	des (8 GB mem	ory and 400 GB local	scratch disk
at $200 MB/s$ ).				
• "Thin" nodes (	6 GB memory, 1	2 GB local scrat	tch disk)	
Average Utiliza	ation:			
We average over	95% node utiliza	tion for the last	30 days.	
Information fro	om:			
Gary B. Skouson				
	aan Onni aar			

(				
TOP500:	6	Name:	Los Alamos National	Lab
Country:	USA	City:	Los Alamos, NM	<b>Year:</b> 2003
Computer	Opteron 2 GHz	, Myrinet	Manufacturer:	Linux Networx
Family Model:				
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	2816	Op. System:	Linux (Red Hat)	
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given
$\mathbf{R}_{max}$ :	8051		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	11264
N <sub>max</sub> :	761160		$N_{half}$ :	109208
Queues: not give	en			
Scheduling:				
• LCRM				
• SLURM				
• Fair Share with	Half-Life			
Prioritization:	Yes		Backfill:	No
Reservations:	No		Checkpointing:	No
Preemption:	Yes		Gang Scheduling:	Yes
Partitions: not g	given			
Average Utiliza	tion: not given			

<b>TOP500:</b>	7	Name:	Lawrence Livermore	National Lab
Country:	USA	City:	Livermore, CA	<b>Year:</b> 2002
Computer	MCR Linux Clu	ıster	Manufacturer:	Linux Networx
Family Model:	Xeon 2.4 GHz,	Quadrics		
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	2304	Op. System:	Chaos 1.2 (modified ]	Red Hat 7.3)
Max. Mem.:	4  GB		Total Mem.:	4,5 TB
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	7634		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	11060
N <sub>max</sub> :	350000		N <sub>half</sub> :	75000
Queues: not give	en		•	
Scheduling:				
• LCRM				
• SLURM				
• Fair Share with	Half-Life			
Prioritization:	Yes		Backfill:	No
Reservations:	No		Checkpointing:	No
Preemption:	Yes		Gang Scheduling:	Yes
Partitions: not g	given			
Average Utiliza	tion: not given			

<b>TOP500:</b>	8	Name:	Lawrence Livermore	National Lab
Country:	USA	City:	Livermore, CA	<b>Year:</b> 2000
Computer	ASCI White,		Manufacturer:	IBM
Family Model:	SP Power 3 $375$	Mhz		
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	8192	Op. System:	AIX	
Max. Mem.:	16 GB		Total Mem.:	8 TB
$\mathbf{R}_{max}$ :	7304		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	12288
$N_{max}$ :	640000		N <sub>half</sub> :	not given
Queues: not give	en			
Scheduling:				
• DPCS				
• LoadLeveler				
• GangLL				
Prioritization:	Yes		Backfill:	No
Reservations:	No		Checkpointing:	Yes
Preemption:	Yes		Gang Scheduling:	Yes
Partitions:			•	
• Debug Partition	1			
Batch Partition				
Average Utiliza	tion: not given			

<b>TOP500:</b>	9	Name:	NERSC/LBNL	
Country:	USA	City:	Berkeley, CA	<b>Year:</b> 2002
Computer	SP Power 3 $375$	Mhz 16way	Manufacturer:	IBM
Family Model:				
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	6656	Op. System:	AIX	
Max. Mem.:	16 GB - 64 GB	-	Total Mem.:	$7 \mathrm{TB}$
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	7304		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	9984
$N_{max}$ :	640000		$N_{half}$ :	not given
Queues: not give	en			
Scheduling:				
• LoadLeveler				
Prioritization:	No		Backfill:	Yes
Reservations:	No		Checkpointing:	No
Preemption:	No		Gang Scheduling:	Yes
Partitions: not §	given			
Average Utiliza	tion: not given			

(				
TOP500:	10	Name:	Lawrence Livermore	National Lab
Country:	USA	City:	Livermore, CA	<b>Year:</b> 2003
Computer	xSeries Cluster		Manufacturer:	IBM/
Family Model:	Xeon 2.4 GHz, $$	Quadrics		Quadrics
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	1920	<b>Op. System:</b>	not given	
Max. Mem.:	4 GB		Total Mem.:	3,75 TB
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	6586		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	9216
$N_{max}$ :	425000		$N_{half}$ :	90000
Queues: not give	en			
Scheduling: not	given			
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given
Partitions: not g	given			
Average Utiliza	tion: not given			

<b>TOP500:</b>	11	Name:	National Aerospace I	ab of Japan
Country:	Japan	City:	Tokyo	Year: 2002
Computer	PRIMEPOWEI	3	Manufacturer:	Fujitsu
Family Model:	$\rm HPC2500~1.3~G$	Hz		
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	2304	<b>Op. System:</b>	not given	
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given
R <sub>max</sub> :	5406		R <sub>peak</sub> :	11980
N <sub>max</sub> :	658800		$N_{half}$ :	100080
Queues: not give	en			
Scheduling: not	given			
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given
Partitions: not g	given			
Average Utiliza	ation: not given			

10P500:	12	Name:	Pittsburgh Supercom	puting Center	
Country:	USA	City:	Pittsburgh, PA	<b>Year:</b> 2001	
Computer	AlphaServer SC	45, 1GHz	Manufacturer:	HP	
Family Model:					
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Academic		
Processors:	3016	<b>Op. System:</b>	Tru64 UNIX		
Max. Mem.:	32  GB		Total Mem.:	3 TB	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	4463		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	6032	
$N_{max}$ :	280000		$N_{half}$ :	85000	
Queues:					
• one large job qu	ieue ( $\geq 256$ no	des ( $>= 1024 \text{ c}$ )	pus))		
$\bullet$ one smaller job	queue ( $< 256$ nc	des (< 1024  cp)	us))		
Scheduling:					
• OpenPBS with	the custom sche	duler Simon (wi	ritten in TCL).		
• Simon features	advance reservat	ions, backfilling	, and co-scheduling		
special purpose	visualization no	des.			
• Supports variou	s job prioritizati	ons based on jo	b size and queue prior	rity	
to accommodat	e the user base a	and desired wor	kload mix.		
Prioritization:	Yes		Backfill:	Yes	
Reservations:	Yes		Checkpointing:	Yes	
Preemption:	No		Gang Scheduling:	No	
Partitions:	1 . 1 . 1				
• One partition to	o which jobs are	scheduled.	an i		
• 1 node (an SMP) is comprised of 4 cpus and 4 GB of memory.					
		1 + Cpus and +	GD of memory.		
• Scheduling at th	ne node level so	that no nodes a	re shared.		
• Scheduling at th Average Utiliza	ne node level so	that no nodes a	re shared.		
<ul> <li>Scheduling at th</li> <li>Average Utilization</li> <li>Typical utilization</li> </ul>	ne node level so tion:	that no nodes a	re shared.		
<ul> <li>Scheduling at the Average Utilization</li> <li>Typical utilization</li> <li>Allocating node</li> </ul>	not	0%.	source model.		
<ul> <li>Scheduling at the Average Utilization</li> <li>Typical utilization</li> <li>Allocating node That is, once a to decide heart to decide heart</li> </ul>	node has been a	0%. g a reserved result of the point of the	source model. b, it's up to the user		
<ul> <li>Scheduling at the Average Utilization</li> <li>Typical utilization</li> <li>Allocating node That is, once a to decide how the average assignment of the second se</li></ul>	tion: node level so to	0%. ag a reserved result allocated to a jo ces of the node	source model. b, it's up to the user		
<ul> <li>Scheduling at th Average Utilizat</li> <li>Typical utilizati</li> <li>Allocating node That is, once a to decide how t or nodes assign</li> <li>Billing and mode</li> </ul>	tion: on runs about 9 s is done by usir node has been a o use the resour- ed as they are as	0%. In a reserved result of the node signed exclusive a is based on the	source model. b, it's up to the user ely to the user.	ocated	
<ul> <li>Scheduling at the Average Utilization of the Average Utilization of the Average Utilization of the Allocating node and the Allocating node at the Allocating node at the Allocating node at the Allocating and the Al</li></ul>	tion: no runs about 9 s is done by usir node has been a o use the resourced as they are as suring utilization	0%. ng a reserved resultocated to a jo ces of the node ssigned exclusive n is based on th	source model. b, it's up to the user ely to the user. e number of nodes all	ocated	
<ul> <li>Scheduling at the scheduling and the scheduling and meas to jobs.</li> </ul>	and the second s	0%. ng a reserved result a reserved result cased to a jo ces of the node ssigned exclusive n is based on th	source model. b, it's up to the user ely to the user. e number of nodes all	ocated	
<ul> <li>Scheduling at the Average Utilization of the Average Utilization of the Average Utilization of the Allocating node and the Allocating node are to decide how the or nodes assigned by Billing and mean to jobs.</li> <li>Information from Chad Viging of the Allocation of the Al</li></ul>	node level so the node level so the node level so the node level so the node has been a so use the resourced as they are as suring utilization m:	0%. If a reserved result of the node of the node of the node of the node of the signed exclusive n is based on the node of th	source model. b, it's up to the user ely to the user. e number of nodes all	ocated	
<ul> <li>Scheduling at the Average Utilization of the Average Utilization of the Average Utilization of the Allocating node and the Allocating node are to decide how the or nodes assigned by Billing and mean to jobs.</li> <li>Information from Chad Vizino of the Allocation of the Al</li></ul>	and the second s	0%. If a reserved result of the node of t	source model. b, it's up to the user ely to the user. e number of nodes all	ocated	

<b>TOP500:</b>	13	Name:	NCAR		
Country:	USA	City:	Boulder, CO	<b>Year:</b> 2003	
Computer	pSeries 690 Tur	bo 1.3 GHz	Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:					
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research		
Processors:	1600	<b>Op. System:</b>	AIX		
Max. Mem.:	2  GB		Total Mem.:	3 TB	
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	4184		R <sub>peak</sub> :	8320	
N <sub>max</sub> :	550000		N <sub>half</sub> :	93000	
Queues: 27					
Scheduling:					
• LoadLeveler					
Prioritization:	No		Backfill:	Yes	
Reservations:	No		Checkpointing:	No	
Preemption:	No		Gang Scheduling:	No	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utiliza	tion: not given				

<b>TOP500:</b>	14	Name:	Cinese Academy of S	cience	
Country:	China	City:	Beijing	<b>Year:</b> 2003	
Computer	DeepComp 6800	0,	Manufacturer:	Legend	
Family Model:	Itanium2 1.3 G	Hz, QsNet			
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Academic		
Processors:	1024	Op. System:	not given		
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given	
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	4183		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	5324,8	
$N_{max}$ :	491488		$N_{half}$ :	not given	
Queues: not give	en				
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: 2					
• Climate Simulation Laboratory jobs					
• Community Computing Jobs					
Average Utiliza	tion: not given				

<b>TOP500:</b>	15	Name:	Comm. a l'Energie A	tomique		
Country:	France	City:	StPaul-lez-Durance	Year: 2001		
Computer	AlphaServer SC	C45, 1GHz	Manufacturer:	HP		
Family Model:						
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research			
Processors:	2560	Op. System:	Tru64 UNIX 5.1a			
Max. Mem.:	not given	•	Total Mem.:	not given		
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	3980		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	5120		
$N_{max}$ :	360000		N <sub>half</sub> :	85000		
Queues:			·			
• LSF batch man	agement system					
Scheduling: not	given					
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given		
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given		
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given		
Partitions: not g	Partitions: not given					
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	16	Name:	HPCx			
Country:	UK	City:	Edinburgh	<b>Year:</b> 2002		
Computer	pSeries 690 Tur	bo 1.3 GHz	Manufacturer:	IBM		
Family Model:						
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Academic			
Processors:	1280	<b>Op. System:</b>	AIX			
Max. Mem.:	1 GB		Total Mem.:	1,2 TB		
R <sub>max</sub> :	3406		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	6656		
$N_{max}$ :	317000		$N_{half}$ :	not given		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling:						
$\bullet$ LoadLeveler						
Prioritization:	no		Backfill:	yes		
Reservations:	no		Checkpointing:	no		
Preemption:	no		Gang Scheduling:	no		
Partitions: not g	given		·			
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	17	Name:	Forecast Systems Lal	ooratory
Country:	USA	City:	Washington, DC	<b>Year:</b> 2002
Computer	Aspen Systems,	Dual	Manufacturer:	HPTi
Family Model:	Xeon 2.2 GHz,N	Myrinet2000		
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	1536	Op. System:	Linux (Red Hat 6)	
Max. Mem.:	1 GB		Total Mem.:	0,75 TB
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	3337		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	6758
N <sub>max</sub> :	285000		N <sub>half</sub> :	75000
Queues: not give	en		•	
Scheduling:				
• PBS Pro				
Prioritization:	no		Backfill:	yes
Reservations:	no		Checkpointing:	no
Preemption:	no		Gang Scheduling:	no
Partitions: not given				
Average Utilization: not given				

<b>TOP500:</b>	18	Name:	Naval Oceanographic	Office	
Country:	USA	City:	Stennis SC, MS	<b>Year:</b> 2002	
Computer	pSeries 690 Tur	bo 1.3 GHz	Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:					
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research		
Processors:	1184	Op. System:	AIX 5.1		
Max. Mem.:	8 GB-64 GB		Total Mem.:	1,4 TB	
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	3160		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	6156,8	
$N_{max}$ :	not given		$N_{half}$ :	not given	
Queues: 7					
• batch					
• priority					
• bigmem					
• share					
• transfer					
• debug					
• background					
Scheduling:					
• LoadLeveler					
Prioritization:	no		Backfill:	yes	
Reservations:	no		Checkpointing:	no	
Preemption:	no		Gang Scheduling:	no	
Partitions: not g	given				
Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	19	Name:	Government		
Country:	USA	City:	not given	<b>Year:</b> 2003	
Computer	Cray X1		Manufacturer:	Cray Inc.	
Family Model:					
Type:	Parallel vector	Inst. Type:	not given		
Processors:	252	Op. System:	UNICOS/mp		
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	5 TB	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2932,9		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	3225,6	
$N_{max}$ :	338688		N <sub>half</sub> :	44288	
Queues: not give	en				
Scheduling:					
• PBS Pro					
• Load Balancer					
• Gang Scheduler					
Prioritization:	no		Backfill:	no	
Reservations:	no		Checkpointing:	no	
Preemption:	no		Gang Scheduling:	yes	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	20	Name:	Oak Ridge National	Laboratory		
Country:	USA	City:	Oak Ridge, TN	<b>Year:</b> 2003		
Computer	Cray X1		Manufacturer:	Cray Inc.		
Family Model:						
Type:	Parallel vector	Inst. Type:	Research			
Processors:	252	Op. System:	UNICOS/mp			
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	5 TB		
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	2932,9		R <sub>peak</sub> :	3225,6		
$N_{max}$ :	338688		N <sub>half</sub> :	44288		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling:						
• PBS Pro						
• Load Balancer						
• Gang Scheduler						
Prioritization:	no		Backfill:	no		
Reservations:	no		Checkpointing:	no		
Preemption:	no		Gang Scheduling:	yes		
Partitions: not g	given		•			
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	21	Name:	Cray Inc.		
Country:	USA	City:	Seattle, WA	<b>Year:</b> 2003	
Computer	Cray X1		Manufacturer:	Cray Inc.	
Family Model:					
Type:	Parallel vector	Inst. Type:	Vendor		
Processors:	252	Op. System:	UNICOS/mp		
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	5  TB	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2932,9		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	$3225,\!6$	
$N_{max}$ :	338688		N <sub>half</sub> :	44288	
Queues: not give	en		•		
Scheduling:					
• PBS Pro					
• Load Balancer					
• Gang Scheduler					
Prioritization:	no		Backfill:	no	
Reservations:	no		Checkpointing:	no	
Preemption:	no		Gang Scheduling:	yes	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

TOP500:	22	Name:	Korea Institute of Sci	ence		
Country:	Korea	City:	Seoul	<b>Year:</b> 2003		
Computer	eServer Cluster	1350	Manufacturer:	IBM		
Family Model:	xSeries Xeon 2.	4 GHz, Myrinet				
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research			
Processors:	1024	Op. System:	Linux (Red Hat 7.3)			
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	1024 GB		
R <sub>max</sub> :	3067		R <sub>peak</sub> :	4915,2		
N <sub>max</sub> :	300000		$N_{half}$ :	not given		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling:						
• PBS Pro						
• Maui Scheduler						
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given		
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given		
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given		
Partitions: no pa	artitions		<b>K</b>			
Average Utiliza	Average IItilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	23	Name:	ECMWF	
Country:	UK	City:	Reading	<b>Year:</b> 2002
Computer	pSeries 690 Tur	bo 1.3 GHz	Manufacturer:	IBM
Family Model:				
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	960	<b>Op. System:</b>	AIX	
Max. Mem.:	8 GB		Total Mem.:	2,7 TB
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2560		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	4992
N <sub>max</sub> :	not given		$N_{half}$ :	not given
Queues: 5 classes	s			
• classes os and n	s in the 3 LPAR	for serial jobs		
$\bullet$ classes op, debu	ig and np in the	116 LPAR for $\mu$	oarallel jobs.	
Scheduling:				
• The standard II	BM LL backfill s	cheduling schem	ne aided by	
own combined j	job-filter			
• runtime history	files that ensure	s most job are $g$	given an	
accurate wall_clock_limit plus a base-time of 24 hours.				
	<b>^</b>			
Prioritization:	yes		Backfill:	yes
Prioritization: Reservations:	yes yes		Backfill: Checkpointing:	yes no
Prioritization: Reservations: Preemption:	yes yes no		Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling:	yes no no
Prioritization: Reservations: Preemption: Partitions:	yes yes no		Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling:	yes no no
Prioritization: Reservations: Preemption: Partitions: • Each system ha	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com	pute frames an	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O	yes no no frames.
Prioritization:Reservations:Preemption:Partitions:• Each system ha• The 30 × p690	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com frames are subdi	apute frames an ivided.	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O	yes no no frames.
Prioritization: Reservations: Preemption: Partitions: • Each system ha • The 30 × p690 • 4 LPAR/frame,	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com frames are subdi so 120 compute	npute frames an ivided. LPAR in total,	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O each with 8 CPU so	yes no no frames. in total
Prioritization: Reservations: Preemption: Partitions: • Each system ha • The 30 × p690 • 4 LPAR/frame, 960 CPUs.	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com frames are subdi so 120 compute	npute frames an ivided. LPAR in total,	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O each with 8 CPU so	yes no no frames. in total
<ul> <li>Prioritization:</li> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>Each system ha</li> <li>The 30 × p690</li> <li>4 LPAR/frame, 960 CPUs.</li> <li>2 memory types</li> </ul>	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com frames are subdi so 120 compute s in the $30 \times p69$	pute frames an ivided. LPAR in total, 00 frames.	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O each with 8 CPU so	yes no no frames. in total
<ul> <li>Prioritization:</li> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>Each system ha</li> <li>The 30 × p690</li> <li>4 LPAR/frame, 960 CPUs.</li> <li>2 memory types</li> <li>27 frames have</li> </ul>	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com frames are subdi so $120$ compute s in the $30 \times p69$ 32 GB memory a	apute frames an ivided. LPAR in total, 00 frames. and 3 frames 12	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O each with 8 CPU so 8 GB memory.	yes no no frames. in total
<ul> <li>Prioritization:</li> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>Each system ha</li> <li>The 30 × p690</li> <li>4 LPAR/frame, 960 CPUs.</li> <li>2 memory types</li> <li>27 frames have</li> <li>Average Utilization</li> </ul>	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com frames are subdi so $120$ compute s in the $30 \times p69$ 32 GB memory a <b>tion:</b> between $9$	apute frames an ivided. LPAR in total, 00 frames. and 3 frames 12 4% and 97.5%	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O each with 8 CPU so 8 GB memory.	yes no no frames. in total
Prioritization: Reservations: Preemption: Partitions: • Each system ha • The 30 × p690 • 4 LPAR/frame, 960 CPUs. • 2 memory types • 27 frames have Average Utiliza Information fro	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com frames are subdi so $120$ compute s in the $30 \times p69$ 32 GB memory a <b>tion:</b> between 9 <b>m:</b>	apute frames an ivided. LPAR in total, 00 frames. and 3 frames 12 4% and 97.5%	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O each with 8 CPU so 8 GB memory.	yes no no frames. in total
Prioritization: Reservations: Preemption: Partitions: • Each system ha • The 30 × p690 • 4 LPAR/frame, 960 CPUs. • 2 memory types • 27 frames have Average Utiliza Information fro Graham Holt	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com frames are subdi so $120$ compute s in the $30 \times p69$ 32 GB memory a tion: between 9 m:	apute frames an ivided. LPAR in total, 00 frames. and 3 frames 12 4% and 97.5%	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O each with 8 CPU so 8 GB memory.	yes no no frames. in total
<ul> <li>Prioritization:</li> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>Each system ha</li> <li>The 30 × p690</li> <li>4 LPAR/frame, 960 CPUs.</li> <li>2 memory types</li> <li>27 frames have</li> <li>Average Utilization frongraham Holt</li> <li>Technical Group Least</li> </ul>	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com frames are subdi so $120$ compute s in the $30 \times p69$ 32 GB memory a tion: between 9 m: ader	apute frames an ivided. LPAR in total, 00 frames. and 3 frames 12 4% and 97.5%	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O each with 8 CPU so 8 GB memory.	yes no no frames. in total
Prioritization: Reservations: Preemption: Partitions: • Each system ha • The 30 × p690 • 4 LPAR/frame, 960 CPUs. • 2 memory types • 27 frames have Average Utiliza Information fro Graham Holt Technical Group Lea	yes yes no s $30 \times p690$ com frames are subdi so $120$ compute s in the $30 \times p69$ 32 GB memory a <b>tion:</b> between 9 om: ader pecialist	apute frames an ivided. LPAR in total, 00 frames. and 3 frames 12 4% and 97.5%	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O each with 8 CPU so 8 GB memory.	yes no no frames. in total
<ul> <li>Prioritization:</li> <li>Reservations:</li> <li>Preemption:</li> <li>Partitions:</li> <li>Each system ha</li> <li>The 30 × p690</li> <li>4 LPAR/frame, 960 CPUs.</li> <li>2 memory types</li> <li>27 frames have</li> <li>Average Utiliza</li> <li>Information fro</li> <li>Graham Holt</li> <li>Technical Group Leat</li> <li>HPCF Scheduling Spection</li> <li>ECMWF, Shinfiel</li> </ul>	yes yes no s 30 × p690 com frames are subdi so 120 compute s in the 30 × p69 32 GB memory a tion: between 9 om: ader pecialist d Park, Reading	pute frames an ivided. LPAR in total, 00 frames. and 3 frames 12 4% and 97.5% 5, Berkshire RG	Backfill: Checkpointing: Gang Scheduling: d 2 × Nighthawk I/O each with 8 CPU so 8 GB memory. 2 9AX, UK	yes no no frames. in total

<b>TOP500:</b>	26	Name:	Texas Advanced Con	nputing Center		
Country:	USA	City:	Austin, Texas	Year: 2003		
Computer	PowerEdge 175	0, Pentium4	Manufacturer:	Dell-Cray		
Family Model:	Xeon 3.06 GHz	, Myrinet				
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Academic			
Processors:	600	Op. System:	Linux			
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	0,6 TB		
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2455		R <sub>peak</sub> :	3672		
N <sub>max</sub> :	252000		N <sub>half</sub> :	not given		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling:						
• Job Mix Schedu	ıler					
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given		
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given		
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given		
Partitions: not g	Partitions: not given					
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	27	Name:	Sandia National Lab	oratory	
Country:	USA	City:	Livermore, CA	Year: 1999	
Computer	ASCI Red, Pen	tium II Xeon	Manufacturer:	Intel	
Family Model:					
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research		
Processors:	9632	<b>Op. System:</b>	Paragon OS		
Max. Mem.:	256 MB/ 512 M	IB	Total Mem.:	1,2 TB	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2379		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	3207	
$N_{max}$ :	362880		N <sub>half</sub> :	75400	
Queues: not give	en				
Scheduling:					
• Gang Scheduler					
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	yes	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

TODEOO	00	NT	O I D'I N U I	r 1 /	
TOP500:	28	Name:	Oak Ridge National	Laboratory	
Country:	USA	City:	Oak Ridge, TN	Year: 2002	
Computer	pSeries 690 Tur	bo 1.3 GHz	Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:					
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research		
Processors:	864	<b>Op. System:</b>	AIX		
Max. Mem.:	8 GB		Total Mem.:	not given	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2310		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	4492,8	
$N_{max}$ :	275000		$N_{half}$ :	62000	
Queues: not give	en				
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	29	Name:	IBM		
Country:	Canada	City:	Markham, Ontario	<b>Year:</b> 2003	
Computer	pSeries 690 Tur	bo 1.3 GHz	Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:	-				
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Vendor		
Processors:	864	<b>Op. System:</b>	AIX		
Max. Mem.:	8 GB		Total Mem.:	not given	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2310		R <sub>peak</sub> :	4492,8	
$N_{max}$ :	275000		N <sub>half</sub> :	62000	
Queues: not give	en		·		
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

TOP500:	30	Name:	Louisiana State Univ	ersity
Country:	USA	City:	Baton Rouge, LA	<b>Year:</b> 2002
Computer	P4 Xeon 1.8 GI	Iz Myrinet	Manufacturer:	Atipa
Family Model:				
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Academic	
Processors:	1024	<b>Op. System:</b>	Linux (Red Hat 7.2)	
Max. Mem.:	2  GB		Total Mem.:	1 TB
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	2207		R <sub>peak</sub> :	3686,4
N <sub>max</sub> :	280000		N <sub>half</sub> :	56000
Queues: not give	en			
Scheduling:				
• PBS Pro				
Prioritization:	no		Backfill:	yes
Reservations:	no		Checkpointing:	no
Preemption:	no		Gang Scheduling:	no
Partitions: not given				
Average Utiliza	ation: not given			

<b>TOP500:</b>	31	Name:	Max-Planck-Gesellsch	haft MPI/IPP	
Country:	Germany	City:	Garching	<b>Year:</b> 2003	
Computer	pSeries 690 Tur	bo 1.3 GHz	Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:					
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research		
Processors:	832	Op. System:	AIX		
Max. Mem.:	$21 \times 64 \text{ GB} + 1$	$2 \times 96 \text{ GB} +$	Total Mem.:	2 TB	
	$2 \times 256 \text{ GB}$				
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2198,4		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	4326,4	
$N_{max}$ :	not given		N <sub>half</sub> :	not given	
Queues:			·		
• 12 queues with	different number	of nodes (proc	essors) and different r	untimes.	
• One special que	eue for the two "	fat" nodes with	$256~\mathrm{GB}$ main memor	y each.	
Scheduling:					
• IBM Loadlevele	er				
Prioritization:	yes		Backfill:	yes	
<b>Reservations:</b>	no		Checkpointing:	no	
Preemption:	no		Gang Scheduling:	not in use	
Partitions:					
• 25 compute (batch) nodes and 2 I/O nodes					
Average Utilization: 93% on 25 compute nodes					
Information from:					
Dr. Ingeborg Weidl, Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, D-85748 Garching					
email: weidl@rzg.mpg.de					

<b>TOP500:</b>	32	Name:	NASA		
Country:	USA	City:	Greenbelt, MD	<b>Year:</b> 2002	
Computer	AlphaServer SC	C45, 1GHz	Manufacturer:	HP	
Family Model:					
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research		
Processors:	1392	Op. System:	Tru64 UNIX 5.1a		
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	0,6 TB	
$\mathbf{R}_{max}$ :	2164		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	2784	
$N_{max}$ :	320000		$N_{half}$ :	40000	
Queues:					
• LSF batch man	agement system				
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utiliza	tion: not given				

<b>TOP500:</b>	33	Name:	Lawrence Livermore	National Lab	
Country:	USA	City:	Livermore, CA	<b>Year:</b> 1999	
Computer	ASCI Blue-Paci	fic SST,	Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:	IBM SP $604e$				
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research		
Processors:	5808	<b>Op. System:</b>	AIX 5		
Max. Mem.:	$1,5-2,5~{\rm GB}$		Total Mem.:	1,9 TB	
	(432  nodes with)	12,5 GB)			
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2144		R <sub>peak</sub> :	3856,5	
N <sub>max</sub> :	431344		N <sub>half</sub> :	not given	
Queues: not give	en		·		
Scheduling:					
• Parallel Op. Sys	stem (POE)				
Prioritization:	no		Backfill:	no	
Reservations:	no		Checkpointing:	no	
Preemption:	no		Gang Scheduling:	yes	
Partitions:					
• 976 4-CPU SMP nodes consisting of $2 \times 488$ -node sectors, S and K					
• 4 Login Nodes					
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given				

<b>TOP500:</b>	34	Name:	US Army Research L	aboratory		
Country:	USA	City:	Adelphi, MD	<b>Year:</b> 2002		
Computer	pSeries 690 Tur	bo 1.3 GHz	Manufacturer:	IBM		
Family Model:						
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research			
Processors:	800	Op. System:	AIX 5			
Max. Mem.:	8 GB		Total Mem.:	not given		
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2140		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	4160		
N <sub>max</sub> :	not given		$N_{half}$ :	not given		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling: not	given					
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given		
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given		
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given		
Partitions: not given						
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	35	Name:	NCSA	
Country:	USA	City:	Champaign, IL	Year: 2003
Computer	TeraGrid, Itani	um2 1.3 GHz,	Manufacturer:	IBM
Family Model:	Myrinet			
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Academic	
Processors:	512	Op. System:	Suse SLES 8	
Max. Mem.:	4 GB/ 12 GB		Total Mem.:	2 TB
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2110		R <sub>peak</sub> :	2662,4
N <sub>max</sub> :	308350		N <sub>half</sub> :	not given
Queues: not give	en			
Scheduling:				
• PBS Pro				
• Maui Scheduler				
Prioritization:	yes		Backfill:	yes
Reservations:	no		Checkpointing:	no
Preemption:	yes		Gang Scheduling:	no
Partitions: not given				
Average Utiliza	tion: not given			

<b>TOP500:</b>	36	Name:	Atomic Weapons Est	ablishment
Country:	UK	City:	Reading	<b>Year:</b> 2002
Computer	SP Power3 375	Mhz 16way	Manufacturer:	IBM
Family Model:				
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research	
Processors:	1920	Op. System:	AIX	
Max. Mem.:	16  GB (2  Nodes)	s of 64 GB)	Total Mem.:	not given
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2106		R <sub>peak</sub> :	2880
$N_{max}$ :	not given		N <sub>half</sub> :	not given
Queues: not give	en			
Scheduling: not	given			
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given
Partitions: 120 nodes with 16 processors				
Average Utilization: not given				

<b>TOP500:</b>	37	Name:	Deutscher Wetterdien	nst		
Country:	Germany	City:	Offenbach	Year: 2003		
Computer	SP Power3 375	Mhz 16way	Manufacturer:	IBM		
Family Model:						
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research			
Processors:	1920	<b>Op. System:</b>	AIX 5.1			
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	1,24 TB		
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	2106		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	2880		
$N_{max}$ :	not given		$N_{half}$ :	not given		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling: not	given					
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given		
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given		
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given		
Partitions: not given						
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	38	Name:	University at Buffalo	
Country:	USA	City:	Buffalo, NY	<b>Year:</b> 2002
Computer	PowerEdge 2650	) Cluster	Manufacturer:	Dell
Family Model:				
	P4 Xeon 2.4 GI	Iz - Myrinet		
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Academic	
Processors:	600	Op. System:	Linux (RedHat 7.3, 2	.4 Kernel)
Max. Mem.:	2 GB		Total Mem.:	not given
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	2004		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	2880
$N_{max}$ :	253400		$N_{half}$ :	42200
Queues: not give	en			
Scheduling:				
• PBS Pro				
• Maui Scheduler				
Prioritization:	yes		Backfill:	yes
Reservations:	no		Checkpointing:	no
Preemption:	yes		Gang Scheduling:	no
Partitions: 258 Nodes				
Average Utiliza	tion: not given			

<b>TOP500:</b>	39	Name:	NC for Environmenta	al Prediction	
Country:	USA	City:	Camp Springs, MD	<b>Year:</b> 2002	
Computer	pSeries 690 Tur	bo 1.3 GHz	Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:					
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research		
Processors:	704	<b>Op. System:</b>	AIX		
Max. Mem.:	8 GB		Total Mem.:	not given	
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	1849		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	3660,8	
$N_{max}$ :	240000		N <sub>half</sub> :	32500	
Queues: not give	en				
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	40	Name:	SARA			
Country:	Netherlands	City:	Almere	<b>Year:</b> 2003		
Computer	SGI Altix 1.3 G	Hz	Manufacturer:	SGI		
Family Model:						
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Academic			
Processors:	416	Op. System:	Linux (Red Hat)			
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	0,83 TB		
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	1793		R <sub>peak</sub> :	2163		
$N_{max}$ :	298799		$N_{half}$ :	not given		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling: not	given					
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given		
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given		
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given		
Partitions: 6 batch nodes / 1 interactive node						
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

TOP500:	41	Name:	KISTI Supercomputi	ng Center		
Country:	South Korea	City:	Daejeon City	Year: 2003		
Computer	pSeries 690 Tur	bo 1.7 GHz	Manufacturer:	IBM		
Family Model:						
Type:	Parallel	Inst. Type:	Research			
Processors:	544	<b>Op. System:</b>	AIX			
Max. Mem.:	8 GB		Total Mem.:	not given		
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	1760		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	3699,2		
$N_{max}$ :	400000		$N_{half}$ :	not given		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling: not	given					
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given		
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given		
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given		
Partitions: not given						
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	42	Name:	Semiconductor Comp	oany		
Country:	USA	City:	not given	<b>Year:</b> 2003		
Computer	xSeries Cluster	Xeon 2.4 GHz,	Manufacturer:	IBM		
Family Model:	Gig-E					
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Industry			
Processors:	1834	Op. System:	Linux			
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given		
$\mathbf{R}_{max}$ :	1755		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	8803,2		
$N_{max}$ :	not given		$N_{half}$ :	not given		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling: not	given					
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given		
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given		
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given		
Partitions: not given						
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	43	Name:	WETA Digital		
Country:	New Zealand	City:	Wellington	<b>Year:</b> 2003	
Computer	BladeCenter Cl	uster	Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:	Xeon 2.8 GHz, $$	Gig-E			
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Industry		
Processors:	1176	Op. System:	Linux (Red Hat)		
Max. Mem.:	6 GB		Total Mem.:	3,4 TB	
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	1755		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	$6585,\!6$	
$N_{max}$ :	not given		N <sub>half</sub> :	not given	
Queues: not give	en		·		
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	44	Name:	Semiconductor Comp	bany	
Country:	USA	City:	not given	Year: 2003	
Computer	xSeries Cluster		Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:	Xeon 2.8 GHz, $$	Gig-E			
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Industry		
Processors:	1140	<b>Op. System:</b>	Linux		
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	1755		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	6384	
$N_{max}$ :	not given		$N_{half}$ :	not given	
Queues: not give	en				
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

TOP500:	47	Name:	PGS		
Country:	USA	City:	Houston, TX	<b>Year:</b> 2003	
Computer	xSeries Cluster		Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:	Xeon 3.06 GHz	, Gig-E			
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Industry		
Processors:	1024	Op. System:	Linux		
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	1755		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	6266,88	
$N_{max}$ :	not given		N <sub>half</sub> :	not given	
Queues: not give	en				
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	48	Name:	WETA Digital		
Country:	New Zealand	City:	Wellington	<b>Year:</b> 2003	
Computer	BladeCenter Cl	uster	Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:	Xeon 2.8 GHz, $$	Gig-E			
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Industry		
Processors:	1080	Op. System:	not given		
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given	
$\mathbf{R}_{max}$ :	1755		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	6048	
$N_{max}$ :	not given		N <sub>half</sub> :	not given	
Queues: not give	en		•		
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	52	Name:	CGG		
Country:	USA	City:	Houston, TX	Year: 2003	
Computer	xSeries Cluster		Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:	Xeon 2.4 GHz, $$	Gig-E			
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Industry		
Processors:	1100	<b>Op. System:</b>	Linux		
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given	
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	1755		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	5280	
$N_{max}$ :	not given		$N_{half}$ :	not given	
Queues: not give	en				
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utiliza	tion: not given				

<b>TOP500:</b>	53	Name:	Arizona State Univer	sity/TGEN		
Country:	USA	City:	Phoenix, AZ	<b>Year:</b> 2003		
Computer	xSeries Cluster		Manufacturer:	IBM		
Family Model:	Xeon 2.4 GHz, $$	Gig-E				
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Academic			
Processors:	1100	Op. System:	Linux			
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given		
$\mathbf{R}_{max}$ :	1755		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	5030,4		
$N_{max}$ :	not given		$N_{half}$ :	not given		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling: not	given					
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given		
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given		
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given		
Partitions: not given						
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	54	Name:	Paradigm Geophysica	al	
Country:	USA	City:	Houston, TX	<b>Year:</b> 2003	
Computer	BladeCenter Cl	uster	Manufacturer:	IBM	
Family Model:	Xeon 2.4 GHz, $$	Gig-E			
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Research		
Processors:	1024	<b>Op. System:</b>	not given		
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given	
$\mathbf{R}_{\max}$ :	1755		R <sub>peak</sub> :	4915,2	
$N_{max}$ :	not given		$N_{half}$ :	not given	
Queues: not give	en				
Scheduling: not	given				
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given	
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given	
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given	
Partitions: not given					
Average Utilization: not given					

<b>TOP500:</b>	55	Name:	TotalFinaElf			
Country:	France	City:	not given	<b>Year:</b> 2003		
Computer	xSeries Cluster		Manufacturer:	IBM		
Family Model:	Xeon 2.4 GHz,	Gig-E				
Type:	Cluster	Inst. Type:	Industry			
Processors:	1024	Op. System:	not given			
Max. Mem.:	not given		Total Mem.:	not given		
$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{max}}$ :	1755		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{peak}}$ :	4915,2		
$N_{max}$ :	not given		$N_{half}$ :	not given		
Queues: not give	en					
Scheduling: not	given					
Prioritization:	not given		Backfill:	not given		
Reservations:	not given		Checkpointing:	not given		
Preemption:	not given		Gang Scheduling:	not given		
Partitions: not given						
Average Utiliza	Average Utilization: not given					

# 4 Acknowledgements

While some of the data have been gathered from the available web pages, the authors are grateful to the different contributions from system administrations. The names are given in the tables for the corresponding entries.

#### References

- Dror G. Feitelson, Larry Rudolph, Uwe Schwiegelshohn, Kenneth C. Sevcik, and Parkson Wong. Theory and practice in parallel job scheduling. In *IPPS'97 Workshop: Job Scheduling Strategies for Parallel Processing*, volume 1291 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (LNCS)*, pages 1–34. Springer, Berlin, April 1997.
- 2. 22nd TOP500 List introduced during the Supercomputer Conference (SC2003) in Phoenix, AZ. http://www.top500.org November 2003.
- 3. D.G. Feitelson and A.M. Weil. Utilization and Predictability in Scheduling the IBM SP2 with Backfilling. In *Proceedings of IPPS/SPDP 1998*, IEEE Computer Society, pages 542–546, 1998.
- D. A. Lifka. The ANL/IBM SP Scheduling System. In D. G. Feitelson and L. Rudolph, editors, *IPPS'95 Workshop: Job Scheduling Strategies for Parallel Processing*, pages 295–303. Springer, Berlin, Lecture Notes in Computer Science LNCS 949, 1995.
- 5. A. Petitet and R.C. Whaley and J. Dongarra and A. Cleary HPL A Portable Implementation of the High-Performance Linpack Benchmark for Distributed-Memory Computers http://www.netlib.org/benchmark